Case 3:09-mj-70015-MRGD Document 9 Filed 02/02/09 Page 1 of 2

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney

United States Attorne

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

FILED FEB 0 3 2009

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division

CLERKUS DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TAREK J. HELOU (CABN 218225) Assistant United States Attorney

> 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7071 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Tarek.J.Helou@usdoi.gov

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CR No. 09-70015 EDL

P. 5.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3161

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER FED. R. CRIM.

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

ν.

19 VINCENT MERTES,

20 Defendant.

21 22

23

24

25

26

On January 30, 2009, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for the

government's motion for detention and to set the date for the defendant's preliminary hearing or

arraignment. At that time, the parties requested, and the Court agreed, to set the date for the

defendant's preliminary hearing or arraignment on February 27, 2009. The parties now request

27 that pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure ("FRCP") 5.1(d), the time limits set forth in

28 | //

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCL. TIME CR 09-70015 EDL

1

Case 3:09-mj-70015-MRGD Document 9 Filed 02/02/09 Page 2 of 2

Case 3:09-mj-70015-MAG

Document 4

Filed 02/02/2009

Page 2 of 2

FRCP 5.1(c) be extended through February 27, 2009. The parties agree that, taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases, good cause exists for this extension.

The defendant also agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

SO STIPULATED: 9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney

12

DATED: February 2, 2009 13

Assistant United States Attorney

15

14

DATED: February 2, 2009 16

ANTHONY BRASS Attorney for VINCENT MERTES

17 18

19

20

21

22

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the extension of time for the defendant's preliminary hearing or arraignment through February 27, 2009 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(8)(A); FED. R. CRIM. P. 5.1(d). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

23 24

25

SO ORDERED.

26

28

27

RABLE ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE

United States Magistrate Judge